

The SIOG COVID-19 working group recommendations on the rollout of COVID-19 vaccines among older adults with Cancer

Anna Rachelle Mislant, Enrique Soto-Perez-de-Celis, Chiara Russo, Giuseppe Colloca, Grant R. Williams, Shane O'Hanlon, Lisa Cooper, Anita O'Donovan, Riccardo A. Audisio, Kwok-Leung Cheung, Regina Gironés Sarrió, Reinhard Stauder, Michael Jaklitsch, Clarito Cairo, Luiz Antonio Gil, Schroder Sattar, Kumud Kantilal, Kah Poh Loh, Stuart M. Lichtman, Etienne Brain, Hans Wildiers, Ravindran Kanavaran, Nicolò Matteo Luca Battisti



PII: S1879-4068(21)00057-6
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgo.2021.03.003>
Reference: JGO 1128
To appear in: *Journal of Geriatric Oncology*
Received date: 16 February 2021
Accepted date: 3 March 2021

Please cite this article as: A.R. Mislant, E. Soto-Perez-de-Celis, C. Russo, et al., The SIOG COVID-19 working group recommendations on the rollout of COVID-19 vaccines among older adults with Cancer, *Journal of Geriatric Oncology* (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgo.2021.03.003>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The SIOG COVID-19 Working Group Recommendations on the Rollout of COVID-19 Vaccines among Older Adults with Cancer

Anna Rachelle Mislant^a anna.mislant@sa.gov.au; Enrique Soto-Perez-de-Celis^b enrique.sotop@incmnsz.mx; Chiara Russo^c Chiara.RUSSO@lyon.unicancer.fr; Giuseppe Colloca^d giuseppeferdinando.colloca@policlinicogemelli.it; Grant R. Williams^e grwilliams@uabmc.edu; Shane O'Hanlon^f shaneohanlon@svhg.ie; Lisa Cooper^g lcooper5@bwh.harvard.edu; Anita O'Donovan^h Anita.ODonovan@tcd.ie; Riccardo A. Audisioⁱ raudisio@doctors.org.uk; Kwok-Leung Cheung^j Kwok_Leung.Cheung@nottingham.ac.uk; Regina Gironés Sarríó^k reginagiro@hotmail.com; Reinhard Stauder^l reinhard.stauder@i-med.ac.at; Michael Jaklitsch^m mjaklitsch@bwh.harvard.edu; Clarito Cairoⁿ dokclar@gmail.com; Luiz Antonio Gil Jr^o gil.luizantonio@gmail.com; Schroder Sattar^p schroder.satta@usask.ca; Kumud Kantilal^q k.kantilal@uea.ac.uk; Kah Poh Loh^r kahpoh_loh@urmc.rochester.edu; Stuart M. Lichtman^s LichtmaS@mskcc.org; Etienne Brain^t Etienne.Brain@curie.fr; Hans Wildiers^u hans.wildiers@uzleuven.be; Ravindran Kanesvaran^v ravindran.kanesvaran@singhealth.com.sg; Nicolò Matteo Luca Battisti^w nicolo.battisti@rmh.nhs.uk

^aDepartment of Medical Oncology, Flinders Centre for Innovation in Cancer, College of Medicine and Public Health, Flinders University, Bedford Park, SA, 5042, Australia

^bDepartment of Geriatrics, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, Mexico

^cDepartment of Medical Oncology, Centre Léon Bérard, Regional Comprehensive Cancer Centre, Lyon, France

^dDipartimento di Diagnostica per Immagini, Radioterapia Oncologica ed Ematologia, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy

^eInstitute for Cancer Outcomes and Survivorship, University of Alabama at Birmingham School of Medicine, Birmingham, AL, USA

^fUniversity College Dublin, St Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

^gDivision of Aging, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

^hApplied Radiation Therapy Trinity (ARTT), Trinity St James's Cancer Institute, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland

ⁱDepartment of surgery, Sahlgrenska Academy - University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

^jSchool of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Royal Derby Hospital Centre, Derby, UK

^kDepartment of Medical Oncology, Hospital Universitari i Politècnic La FE, Valencia, Spain

^lDepartment of Internal Medicine V (Haematology and Oncology), Innsbruck Medical University, Innsbruck, Austria

^mBrigham and Women's Hospital – Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

ⁿNational Integrated Cancer Control Program, Department of Health, Manila, Philippines

^oGeriatric Division – São Paulo University, São Paulo, Brazil

^pCollege of Nursing – University of Saskatchewan, Regina, Canada

^qSchool of Pharmacy, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

^rUniversity of Rochester Medical Center, Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, James P. Wilmot Cancer Institute, Rochester, NY, USA

^sDepartment of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

^tDepartment of Medical Oncology, Institut Curie, Saint-Cloud & Paris, France

^uDepartment of General Medical Oncology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

^vDivision of Medical Oncology, National Cancer Centre Singapore, Singapore

^wBreast Unit – Department of Medicine Department, The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, Breast Cancer Research Division, The Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK

Corresponding author: Anna Rachelle Mislang

Email address: anna.mislang@sa.gov.au

Twitter: @AnnaMislang

Postal address: Flinders Centre for Innovation in Cancer
Level 4, 1 Flinders Drive
Bedford Park, SA 5042
Australia

Keywords: COVID-19, cancer, older patients, vaccine, SIOG

The COVID-19 pandemic continues to negatively impact our society. Older adults are at increased risk of morbidity and mortality. People who are frail, living in residential care facility, and/or with comorbidities, including cancer are disproportionately disadvantaged. To reduce the risk of infection among older adults with cancer, several anticancer therapies have been prioritized, delayed, de-escalated, or omitted based on clinical need (1). However, public health interventions remain critical to mitigate transmission and minimize adverse outcomes. Of these,

mass immunization is perhaps a more effective preventive health measure and potentially a key exit strategy from this crisis.

Considerations on the role of COVID-19 vaccines in older patients with cancer

To date, data on eight COVID-19 vaccines have been successfully submitted for authorization by the World Health Organization (2), five vaccines have reported results on efficacy and/or safety (Table 1), and over 50 are at various stages of development. As vaccines are made available to the general population, their rollout should be prioritized for those at higher risk of adverse outcomes including hospitalization and/or death. Older individuals are traditionally excluded from or underrepresented in clinical trials, and the same holds true for COVID-19 vaccine studies (3). Similarly, patients with cancer, comorbidities, or immunosuppression have been excluded. Therefore, clinicians are expected to make recommendations based on the risk-benefit ratio and extrapolation of trial data to the real world until more information becomes available.

The efficacy of vaccines relies on an intact host response, which could be disrupted in people with myelosuppression due to cancer or its treatment. Age-related dysregulation and immune dysfunction, called immunosenescence, could potentially result in lower immunogenicity of vaccines in older adults (4). Physical exercise may augment vaccine-specific antibody responses; however, activities are limited by the imposed counter-pandemic measures. An adjuvanted vaccine may be used to overcome immunosenescence, as shown in the AZD1222 trial (5).

Variability in the relationship between neutralizing- and binding-antibody titres in older adults was seen in the Ad26.COV2.S trial (6). Nevertheless, vaccine efficacy appears to be consistent in older subgroups with a trend for lower reactogenicity (Table 1). Notably, these findings are all based on short-term analyses, where the long-term efficacy is still unclear. Also, these studies did not include frailty measures nor large groups of older individuals, which limit the characterization of those recruited. Longer follow-up from vaccine trials will provide insight into the impact of vaccination on COVID-19 transmissibility, asymptomatic infections, or emerging mutant strains. The role of anticancer treatments, age, frailty and functional status on vaccine efficacy also needs to be investigated. Despite these caveats, the International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG) COVID-19 Working Group advocates for a call to action to prioritize older adults with cancer in the vaccine

rollout to protect this vulnerable group from the adverse outcomes of COVID-19, even in the absence of robust data.

The SIOG COVID-19 Working Group supports the following recommendations on the rollout of the COVID-19 vaccines for all older patients with cancer:

Recommendation	Rationale
<p>A. For immediate action</p> <p>Prioritize the rollout of vaccines to individuals at disproportionate risk of death and other complications from COVID-19, including older patients with active or progressive cancer, or anticancer therapy at high risk for immunosuppression</p>	<p>Higher 30-day all-cause mortality observed in patients with older age, comorbidities, active or progressive cancer (7).</p>
<p>Implement the use of regulated vaccines at the earliest opportunity, especially in areas with high community transmission</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For older patients receiving active anticancer therapy - if possible, schedule vaccination at the time of bone marrow function recovery and a few days before the next cycle to maximize its efficacy and minimize the impact of potential side effects on ongoing anticancer treatments. 	<p>No specific data available on COVID-19 vaccine. Data extrapolated from experiences with influenza vaccine (8). Recommendations from the UK Chemotherapy Board and Public Health England "Green Book" on Immunization Against Infectious Disease.</p> <p>The efficacy and timing on patients on immunosuppressive therapy still needs to be established.</p>
<p>Persevere with community-based intervention strategies, such as physical distancing, hand hygiene, mask wearing, and use of personal protective equipment to mitigate transmission, even for patients and healthcare professionals that have already been vaccinated</p>	<p>Limited evidence exists on the impact of vaccines on COVID-19 transmission.</p> <p>The timing and level of measures to contain the virus, such as travel restrictions, facilities shutdowns, and social distancing have impacted the incidence and mortality from COVID-19 (9).</p>

Facilitate the availability of vaccines for older adults with cancer living in low and middle-income countries by means of negotiation of fair prices and by equitable distribution of the vaccine supply through international collaborations and partnerships.

Ensure equitable and timely access to vaccines in older people within community, local, or national level.

Prioritize older patients with cancer from socially and medically disadvantaged populations, including those with poor access to healthcare or from underrepresented racial/ethnic groups, in vaccination campaigns.

Create and disseminate educational messaging and risk communication campaigns aimed at convincing older adults with cancer and their caregivers of the value and safety of vaccination

Foster collaboration with advocacy groups to dispel simplistic and populist statements suggesting that “access to vaccines should be prioritized based on the capacity to contribute to economy”, as these stigmatize ageing people as a burden, thereby compromising ethics and health equity

B. For subsequent action

Investigate the vaccines’ long-term safety, seroconversion, and

In line with WHO recommendations for Let’s #ACTogether for #VaccinEquity and the United Nations COVAX program.

Higher incidence and mortality from COVID-19 in racial/ethnic minorities likely related to underlying disparities in social determinants of health (10).

Avoid “fake news”, misinformation, and minimise confusion from several media platforms by disseminating accurate information that is readily available/accessible to a wider audience.

Advocacy, community engagement, and cross-sectoral collaborations are key strategies to COVID-19 response (11).

Populations included in phase III randomized controlled trials were mostly

seroprotection rates in older adults with younger individuals without cancer comorbidities. “Real-world” evidence can further support the effectiveness COVID-19 vaccines among other populations such as older adults and patients with cancer.

Prioritize investigations on the impact of aging, reduction in physical activities, function, frailty, and anticancer treatments on vaccine efficacy and adverse effects

Therefore, SIOG joins the call of other international organizations for prioritizing patients at higher risk of morbidity and mortality from COVID-19, specifically older adults with cancer, when implementing global and local vaccination plans.

Table 1. Summary of the published results on COVID-19 Vaccines and efficacy in older people (in alphabetical order)

Vaccine	N	Design	Type	Main Inclusion Criteria	Main Exclusion Criteria	Dose interval	Efficacy	Older Adults inclusion and vaccine safety
AstraZeneca	11,636	Singlet	Chimpanzee adenovirus vectored vaccine	Age ≥ 18 years	Severe or uncontrolled medical comorbidities	LD (2-2 x 10 ¹⁰ virus particles)	70.4 %	≥ 70 years (9.5%)
AZD1222 (5, 12)		blind	adenovirus vectored vaccine		Participants aged ≥ 65 years with a Dalhousie Clinical	or LD (3-5-6-5 x		In phase II component < 70 (n=79) vs. ≥ 70

					Frailty Score of ≥ 4	10 ¹⁰ virus particles x2 28 days apart	(n=49) years: Similar antibody response across all age groups
							Fewer reactogenicity events <i>Localized AEs:</i> 82% vs. 61% <i>Systemic AEs:</i> 82% vs. 65%
Gam-COVID-Vac (Sputnik V) (13)	19,866	Double-blind	recombinant replicating non-deficient adenovirus	Age ≥ 18 years	Immunosuppression	1x10 ¹¹ viral particles x 2, 21 days apart	91.6% >60 years (10.8%) >60: 91.8%
Janssen Ad26.COV2.S (6)	805	Single-blind	Modified adenovirus	Healthy adults of 2 age cohorts	-	LD: (5x10 ¹⁰ viral	>90% ≥ 65 years (50%)

				1a: 18-55 years			partic les) or HD: (1x10 ¹¹ viral partic les) in singl e vs. 2 dose s, 56 days apart		Cohort 1a vs. 3 Lower Immune respons e LD: 100% vs. 91% HD: 100% vs. 94% Lower incidenc e of AEs <i>Localize d AEs</i> LD: 64% vs.41% HD: 65% vs.84% <i>Systemi c AEs</i> LD: 78% vs. 42% HD: 46% vs. 55%
Modern a	30,4 20	Dou ble	mRNA	Age ≥ 18	Immunosupp ression	100m cg x2	94.1 %	>65 years	

mRNA-1273 (14)	blind	years	28	(25%)
		At high risk of COVID-19 infection by location or comorbidities	days apart	<64: 95.6% ≥65: 86.4% ≥65 (89%) vs. 18-64 (93%) years
Pfizer BioNTech BNT162b2 (15)	43,5 Dou ble blind	mRNA	Age ≥16 Healthy or stable chronic medical conditio	Immunosuppression 30 mcg x2 21 days apart 95% >65 years (21%) Lower reactogenicity events in >55 years (2.8%) vs. 16-55 years (4.6%)

LD: low dose; HD: high dose; SD: standard dose; AE: adverse events

References

1. Battisti NML, Mislav AR, Cooper L, O'Donovan A, Audisio RA, Cheung KL, et al. Adapting care for older cancer patients during the COVID-19 pandemic: Recommendations from the International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG) COVID-19 Working Group. *Journal of geriatric oncology*. 2020;11(8):1190-8.
2. Organization WH. Status of COVID-19 Vaccines within WHO EUL/PQ evaluation process 2021 [Available from: https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/sites/default/files/documents/Status_COVID_VAX_25Jan2021.pdf.

3. Helfand BKI, Webb M, Gartaganis SL, Fuller L, Kwon CS, Inouye SK. The Exclusion of Older Persons From Vaccine and Treatment Trials for Coronavirus Disease 2019-Missing the Target. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2020.
4. Crooke SN, Ovsyannikova IG, Poland GA, Kennedy RB. Immunosenescence and human vaccine immune responses. *Immun Ageing.* 2019;16:25.
5. Ramasamy MN, Minassian AM, Ewer KJ, Flaxman AL, Folegatti PM, Owens DR, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine administered in a prime-boost regimen in young and old adults (COV002): a single-blind, randomised, controlled, phase 2/3 trial. *The Lancet.* 2020;396(10267):1979-93.
6. Sadoff J, Le Gars M, Shukarev G, Heerwegh D, Truyers C, de Groot AM, et al. Interim Results of a Phase 1-2a Trial of Ad26.COV2.S Covid-19 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* 2021.
7. Kuderer NM, Choueiri TK, Shah DP, Shyr Y, Rubinstein SM, Rivera DR, et al. Clinical impact of COVID-19 on patients with cancer (CCC19): a cohort study. *The Lancet.* 2020;395(10241):1907-18.
8. Vollaard A, Schreuder I, Slok-Raijmakers L, Opstelten W, Rijnmelzwaan G, Gelderblom H. Influenza vaccination in adult patients with solid tumours treated with chemotherapy. *European journal of cancer.* 2017;76:134-43.
9. Thu TPB, Ngoc PNH, Hai NM, Tuan LA. Effect of the social distancing measures on the spread of COVID-19 in 10 highly infected countries. *Sci Total Environ.* 2020;742:140430.
10. Moore JT, Ricaldi JN, Rose CE, Fuld J, Parise M, Kang CI, et al. Disparities in Incidence of COVID-19 Among Underrepresented Racial/Ethnic Groups in Counties Identified as Hotspots During June 5-18, 2020 - 22 States, February-June 2020. *MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Wkly Rep.* 2020;69(33):1122-6.
11. Schiavo R. Advocacy, community engagement and cross-sectoral collaborations as key strategies during COVID-19 response and beyond. *Journal of Communication in Healthcare.* 2020;13(1):1-5.
12. Voysey M, Clemens SAC, Madhi SA, Weckx LY, Folegatti PM, Aley PK, et al. Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. *The Lancet.* 2021;397(10269):99-111.
13. Logunov DY, Dolzhikova IV, Shchegolev DV, Tukhvatulin AI, Zubkova OV, Dzharullaeva AS, et al. Safety and efficacy of an rAd26 and rAd5 vector-based heterologous prime-boost COVID-19 vaccine: an interim analysis of a randomised controlled phase 3 trial in Russia. *The Lancet.* 2021.
14. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, Kotloff K, Frey S, Novak R, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* 2020.
15. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(27):2603-15.